Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,789	HORI ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TECHANE J. GERGISO	2437

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) *TECHANE J. GERGISO*.   (3) _____.

(2) *Tomoki Tanida*.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: *5 April 2010*   Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
    If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 3, 12, 16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner suggested to the applicant's representative to use proper Markush claim language if the claims are intended for Markush instead of using "any one of". The applicant's responded to amend the claim with proper Markush claim Language. The examiner also suggested changing status of the withdrawn claims 4-11 to cancelled status. The applicant responded to change status of claims 4-19 to cancelled claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Techane J. Gergiso/
Examiner, Art Unit 2437

                                                      (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)